

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,540	09/18/2003	Gary L. Overhultz	323343600005	5955	
28104 IONES DAY	28104 7590 04/19/2007 JONES DAY			EXAMINER	
77 WEST WACKER			FRECH, KARL D		
CHICAGO, IL 60601-1692			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,540	OVERHULTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl D. Frech	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nnuary 2007					
<del></del>						
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-126</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>49-52 and 79-99</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,27-48,53-78 and 100-126</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-26</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 1:	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.0.0. 3 1	19(4)-(4) 51 (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No.				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ceived.				
	,					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/03,12/03,3/05</u> .	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	****					

Application/Control Number: 10/665,540

Art Unit: 2876

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-48,53-78,100-126 is acknowledged. Claims 49-52,79-99 are withdrawn from consideration.

Page 2

- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-22,27-48,53-78,100-126 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,951,305. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because patent claim 1 recites a backscatter tag with an advertising program that is associated with a sign placed in a specific location, a

Application/Control Number: 10/665,540

Art Unit: 2876

backscatter tag reader, and a computer for communicating with the backscatter reader and analyzes tag data; patent claim 2 recites that the tag transmits to the reader automatically on a periodic basis; patent claim 3 recites that the tag is in abutting relationship with the sign and patent claim 4 recites that the tag is attached to the sign. Patent claim 5 recites the identification code. Patent claim 19 recites that the reader is a contact type reader. Patent claim 6 recites that the computer detects the number of people who pass within range of the reader. Patent claim 7 recites that the sign is selected from a group which consists of marketing materials, displays, coupon dispensers, etc...; and patent claim 11 recites advertising. Patent claim 12 recites the transmitter and patent claim 15 recites magnetic ink. Patent claim 17 recites differing reception ranges for different reader/tag combinations. Patent claim 25 recites differing power levels and patent claim 26 recites capacitive and inductive communications, i.e. antenna.

- 5. Claims 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, in conjunction with all the other limitations of claim 23, the specific switch as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's email address is <a href="mailto:karl.frech@uspto.gov">karl.frech@uspto.gov</a>. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876